

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Deborah Laine,
Plaintiff

v.

Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority,
Defendant

2:16-cv-01075-JAD-CWH

Order Denying Motions

[ECF Nos. 9, 11, 12]

Defendant Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority filed a motion to dismiss or for more definite statement on May 31, 2016.¹ Three weeks later, plaintiff filed an amended complaint.² Rule 15(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure permits parties to amend their complaints once as a matter of course within 21 days of a motion to dismiss.³ Plaintiff's amended complaint was timely filed as of right. Once filed, an amended pleading supersedes the original pleading in its entirety, mooted a motion to dismiss the original pleading.⁴

Because the filing of plaintiff's amended complaint has mooted the Housing Authority's motion to dismiss, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss and Motion for a More Definite Statement [ECF Nos. 9, 11, 12] is **DENIED** as moot and without prejudice. **The hearing scheduled for July 19, 2016 at 1:30 p.m. is VACATED.**

Dated this 22nd day of June, 2016.


Jennifer A. Dorsey
United States District Judge

¹ ECF No. 11, 12. Another version of these documents was first filed at ECF No. 9, but that filing did not comply with LR IC 2-2.

² ECF No. 27.

³ Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B).

⁴ See *Forsyth v. Humana, Inc.*, 114 F.3d 1467, 1474 (9th Cir. 1997) (overruled on other grounds in *Lacey v. Maricopa County*, 693 F.3d 896, 928 (9th Cir. 2012)).